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Executive Summary
With real estate markets seemingly priced to perfection, there are few remaining opportunities to achieve the excess risk-adjusted returns offered by Service Retail. We believe the disruption in retail has hidden one of the better investment opportunities in real estate today – a property type that is quietly benefitting from the mega trend of growing consumer consumption of services and the increasing demand for their brick and mortar delivery point, Service Retail.

The statements and conclusions made are not guarantees and are merely the opinion of CenterSquare and its employees. Any statements and opinions expressed are as of the date of publication, are subject to change as market and economic conditions dictate, and do not necessarily represent the views of CenterSquare. Refer to important disclosures including index disclosures at the end of this document. Past performance is not indicative of future results. This material cannot be reproduced in print or any other media without prior written permission from CenterSquare Investment Management LLC.
What is Service Retail?

CenterSquare defines Service Retail as shopping centers focused on the provision of services (food & beverage, fitness, beauty, health & medical, and business services), built after 2000, between 20,000 to 40,000 square feet. Designed to prosper without an anchor tenant, this Class A quality real estate attracts mostly national and regional tenants. Typically located along highly trafficked roads on a hard corner, with lighted intersections and excellent visibility. These centers serve a dense and/or affluent 3-mile demographic trade areas in growth markets.

Introduction

The retail real estate investment landscape has shifted dramatically in recent years. The purpose of this paper is to explain two major mega trends at play, how they have positively impacted Service Retail, and the contrarian investment opportunity given negative investor sentiment regarding all types of retail.

- The first mega trend is less known, but has been underway for decades (the “Quiet Mega Trend”) – consumers have changed not only how, but also what they purchase, and are spending less on goods and more on services. These services (i.e. food and beverage, fitness, beauty, health and medical, and business services), unlike goods, require a brick and mortar interface for point of sale consumption.

- The second mega trend is well known and more recent (the “Loud Mega Trend”) – the exponential growth of e-commerce is changing how consumers purchase physical goods. This has benefitted industrial real estate while massively disrupting the fundamentals of certain types of retail real estate, namely malls and power centers. Service retail is insulated from e-commerce because Service Retail customers are required to visit the store to receive the product (e.g. you can’t get your haircut online).

- Service Retail is benefitting from these two changing consumer patterns resulting in strong underlying real estate fundamentals that generate consistent, durable, growing, and distributable cash flow.

- The disruption in retail is creating an investment opportunity. At today’s pricing, Service Retail offers attractive returns, which is low risk given the majority of the overall return is generated through current cash flow, enhanced by the implementation of institutional management.

Source: CenterSquare Investment Management as of December 31, 2019.

Refer to important disclosures at the end of this document.
Within the United States, retail sales consist of three categories: consumer durables, non-durables and services. As the name implies, Service Retail tenants cater to services as indicated in Exhibit 1.

Over the past twenty years Service Retail tenants have enjoyed growing demand as consumer preferences have changed significantly and more dollars are spent on services than on goods, see Exhibit 2. As this trend has accelerated, so has the difference between the price of services and durables. As shown in Exhibit 3, the relative price of services has been increasing due to growing demand while the prices of goods has been declining. Higher prices increases service tenant profitability. This important observation is one of the critical factors that has led to the stable fundamentals in this asset class, as outlined further in this paper.

“Consumers are spending more on services than on goods.”

― Service Retail customers are required to visit the store to receive the product."

Refer to important disclosures at the end of this document.
Think about your own experience. While you may visit the mall less and opted to have many of your goods delivered to your home through online shopping, the consumption of services requires a convenient physical interface for point of sale consumption. Within the last month, you have likely purchased a cup of coffee from Starbucks, gotten a haircut, attended a fitness class or visited your phone provider. Service Retail is the brick-and-mortar interface for these e-commerce resilient services – food & beverage, business services, health & medical and beauty.

To further test the strength of consumer demand for services, we analyzed the income and price elasticities for service demand and determined that the demand for services is inelastic. Remember, the services in question are basic services and closer to necessities versus luxuries. Elasticities measures the sensitivity of a variable like demand with respect to other factors such as income or price. The income elasticity is the percentage change in demand (i.e., sales) given a one percent change in income. The price elasticity is the percentage change in demand (i.e., sales) given a one percent change in price. When average household income within a three-mile radius of a Service Retail property (the typical trade area) decreases by 10%, Service Retail sales decrease by only 4.84%. By contrast, mall sales fall 13.09%, which is almost three times as responsive. Similarly, when the price of goods and services increases 10% the change in sales for services is practically 0% (0.25%) versus a decline in mall sales of 8.9% (nearly one for one exchange).

To further test the strength of consumer demand for services, we analyzed the income and price elasticities for service demand and determined that the demand for services is inelastic. Remember, the services in question are basic services and closer to necessities versus luxuries. Elasticities measures the sensitivity of a variable like demand with respect to other factors such as income or price. The income elasticity is the percentage change in demand (i.e., sales) given a one percent change in income. The price elasticity is the percentage change in demand (i.e., sales) given a one percent change in price. When average household income within a three-mile radius of a Service Retail property (the typical trade area) decreases by 10%, Service Retail sales decrease by only 4.84%. By contrast, mall sales fall 13.09%, which is almost three times as responsive. Similarly, when the price of goods and services increases 10% the change in sales for services is practically 0% (0.25%) versus a decline in mall sales of 8.9% (nearly one for one exchange).

Exhibit 4: Representative Service Retail tenants

Exhibit 5: The income elasticity of demand for services is inelastic.

Exhibit 6: The price elasticity of demand for services is also inelastic.
The Loud Mega Trend –
Online Shopping Has Changed How Consumers Purchase Physical Goods

It is difficult to read the news today without hearing about the continued growth of e-commerce. And for good reason, e-commerce has stolen market share from big box tenants and will continue to do so in the more traditional categories of retail real estate: malls, power centers, grocery-anchored centers and drug stores/pharmacies.

However, Service Retail will continue to be insulated from e-commerce because Service Retail customers must visit the store to receive the product.

As we think about the impact of e-commerce and evolving consumer behavior, our analysis shows a wide bifurcation between consumer spending categories that are most impacted by e-commerce, see Exhibit 7. E-commerce currently accounts for 17% of consumer spending (excluding auto and fuel) and our analysis projects that percentage expanding to 31% over the next five to seven years. We expect consumer durable categories like apparel, sporting goods, and pharmacies to see the biggest change in their physical versus online retail shares. On the other hand, service categories like beauty, food and beverage, and fitness will be most insulated from e-commerce, as brick and mortar locations are required for their consumption (i.e., you cannot get your haircut online).

E-commerce penetration for services should continue to remain low as e-commerce does not satisfy the major consumer motivations for online consumption – namely convenience and price. As the chart in Exhibit 8 shows, consumer motivations for shopping online are primarily convenience and price. Because consumer durables and nondurables are physical items, they can be more readily researched and compared online and then purchased and delivered without ever having to leave home. Services, on the other hand, are more heterogenous. Services often require the use of the physical retailer space, not only as a distribution point, but also to create the actual service.

Exhibit 7: E-commerce Penetration by Category

Source: CenterSquare Investment Management as of January 2020.

Exhibit 8: Motivations for Online Purchasing

Which of the following is the main reason you order items online?

- Variety
- Pricing
- Convenience
- Product Comparison & Reviews
- Home delivery
- I rarely / never order items online

> All respondents responding on or after 01/01/2015
> Weighted according to U.S. Census figures for gender and age, 18 and older
Margin +/- 3% 1,649 responses from 03/13/2018 to 03/16/2018
Percentages do not sum to 100 due to rounding.

Source: CivicScience March 21, 2018.
Although the idea that services are difficult to replicate through e-commerce is somewhat intuitive, we wanted to test our analysis more thoroughly with quantitative reasoning. For selected markets, we obtained the list of all retail tenants in a particular market and their associated U.S. Government Standard Industrial Codes (SIC). Then, with the aid of government data and consultation with industry professionals, we associated each SIC with a simple e-commerce sensitivity score: (1) Insensitive, (2) moderately sensitive, and (3) highly sensitive. We tallied the scores for Service Retail and mall tenants and the analysis shows an often unreported, empirical relationship: Service Retail tenants are mostly e-commerce insensitive. Malls, by contrast, are much more sensitive to e-commerce. Exhibits 9 and 10 reflect the outcome of our sensitivity analysis for Chicago and Dallas – markets that are good representatives of our investment universe. Service Retail tenants necessitate a physical real estate location for delivery and consumption, thus are more e-commerce insensitive.

### Service Retail is Not Grocery- Anchored Retail

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limited E-Commerce Threat</th>
<th>Service Retail</th>
<th>Grocery / Anchored Centers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Service-oriented tenants that require customers to visit store to consume service.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Anchor tenants negatively affected by e-commerce. Most grocers now offer home delivery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Cap Rate</td>
<td>✓ 6% to 7%</td>
<td>5% to 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to Grow NOI</td>
<td>✓ Contractual annual rent escalations typical.</td>
<td>Long-term, flat anchor leases limit income growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversified Cash Flow/Tenant Mix</td>
<td>✓ Diverse tenant mix result in staggered rollover and minimal volatility to cash flow.</td>
<td>1 to 3 tenants pay the majority of rent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Leasing Costs</td>
<td>✓ Low frictional re-leasing costs and suites cater to a wide array of tenants.</td>
<td>Backfilling anchor is expensive and replacement tenants are limited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited Competition</td>
<td>✓ Mostly families and local developers allowing for many transactions to be sourced off-market.</td>
<td>REITs and large institutions, typically broadly marketed by major brokerage firm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 A co-tenancy clause in a lease allows a tenant to reduce rent or terminate lease if a key tenant vacates or closes its store.

Not All Retail Is Created Equal – Service Retail is Thriving

Service Retail is not only insulated from the impact of e-commerce, but it also benefits from the growing consumer demand for services. As a result, despite how the media paints all retail with the same negative broad brush, Service Retail has a long track record of strong fundamentals that generates consistent, growing, distributable cash flow.

- Occupancy has remained high over the long-term (Exhibit 11). Service Retail tenants are beneficiaries of growing consumer demand patterns and are e-commerce insensitive. In addition, the small suite sizes are efficient and cater to a wide array of tenants. The result is a long history of high occupancy.
- Tenant default rates are low (Exhibit 12). Using proprietary sources, we have analyzed the lease default rates across a pool of service tenants. Our analysis tells us that the lease default rates are on par to A rated corporate credit, but deliver a significantly higher dividend yield.
- Low Leasing Costs (Exhibit 13). Due to the efficient rectangular configuration and shallow bay depth, tenant suites are fungible and are less expensive to re-lease and require less tenant allowances.
- Contractual Annual Rent Bumps and Mark-to-Market Increases (Exhibit 14). The majority of tenants have contractual annual increases (2-3% per year), contributing to income growth over the hold period. Additionally, Service Retail is a highly fragmented alternative sector amongst non-institutional, local owners and rents can be further increased upon rollover through institutional asset management and leasing.

Exhibit 11: Occupancy remains high

Service Retail Historical Occupancy

Source: Based on CenterSquare’s Service Retail Income Strategy Portfolio as of September 30, 2019.

Exhibit 12: Tenant default rates are low

Service Retail vs. Corporate Credit


Exhibit 13: Low leasing costs

Leasing Costs as a % of NOI

Source: Based on CenterSquare’s Service Retail Income Strategy Portfolio as of September 30, 2019.

Exhibit 14: Grow income via rent increases and contractual annual rent increases

Source: Based on CenterSquare’s Service Retail Income Strategy Portfolio as of September 30, 2019.

Refer to important disclosures at the end of this document.
Mispricing of Service Retail

The disruption in retail is creating an investment opportunity to acquire institutional quality, “e-commerce proof” Service Retail properties at higher cap rates due to (i) the smaller transaction size, (ii) its alternative sector of retail that has been overlooked, and (iii) the negative perception of retail. At today’s pricing, Service Retail offers attractive returns, which is low risk given that the majority of the overall return is generated through current cash flow, enhanced by the implementation of institutional management. This investment opportunity is especially attractive right now given that the NCREIF Open End Diversified Core Equity (ODCE) Index is expected to decelerate to mid-single digit returns (partly driven by write-downs from mall exposure), while Service Retail can outperform on cash flow alone. Service Retail delivers one of the most attractive risk-adjusted returns in the market today.

“Service Retail delivers one of the most attractive risk adjusted returns in the market today.”

“We expect Service Retail cap rates to compress and will likely generate more institutional interest.”

The public REIT markets, due to liquidity, have been significantly ahead of the private market with respect to the repricing of retail. The private markets however, which are opaque and slow to price in new information, continue to misprice retail real estate. As outlined in Exhibit 16, within the retail space, we see a notable mispricing of assets in the private market with regards to their internet sensitivity, where as public markets have priced in the lower e-commerce sensitivity of Service Retail properties versus the higher internet sensitivity of malls.

As the private markets price in new consumer patterns, we expect Service Retail cap rates to compress and generate more institutional interest given the long-term stability and high cash flow.

Exhibit 15: Negative investor sentiment has created attractive buying opportunity

Note: Grocery-Anchored, Multifamily, Industrial, Office and High Street Retail from CBRE North American Cap Rate Survey - 1H 2019, Service Retail not a category so cap rate based on CenterSquare Investment Management experience and track record. Year 1 cash flow potential to equity projection assuming 55% LTV at 4.0% interest rate with no amortization.
(1) Based on CenterSquare’s Service Retail Income Portfolio as of September 30, 2019.
(2) NCREIF Fund Index - Open End Diversified Core Equity (NFI-ODCE) 3Q 2019.
The above contains CenterSquare’s assumptions and projections. Actual results may differ from these assumptions and projections.

Exhibit 16: Public REIT market pricing indicates that pricing for Service Retail is inexpensive in the private markets

Source: CenterSquare Investment Management, as of November 30, 2019. See important disclosures at the end of this document for CenterSquare REIT Cap Rate Perspective Methodology.

Refer to important disclosures at the end of this document.
Conclusion
Mega trends underway for consumer demand patterns are benefitting Service Retail, an uninstitutionalized alternative sector of retail that demonstrates strong fundamentals supported by increasing consumer spending and inelastic demand. Outsize cap rates and the ability to optimize returns positions Service Retail as potentially one of the best risk adjusted investment opportunities available to investors in today's environment of fully valued assets. CenterSquare has capitalized on this opportunity by aggregating a broad and diversified portfolio of Service Retail across growth markets in the U.S. and applying institutional asset management to maintain high occupancy and or drive rent growth to further enhance returns. With this approach, institutional investors have a compelling opportunity to build a diversified portfolio that is not only insulated from the impacts of e-commerce, but also benefitting from the service consumption mega trend and where a significant portion of total return is generated through current income.
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Disclosure Statements, Risk Factors and Definition of Indices

Disclosure Statements

This material is provided for general information only and should not be construed as investment advice or a recommendation. You should consult with your advisor to determine whether any particular investment product or investment strategy is appropriate.

Material in this publication is for general information only and is not intended to provide specific investment advice or recommendations for any purchase or sale of any specific security or commodity. Due to, among other things, the volatile nature of the markets and the investment areas discussed herein, investments may only be suitable for certain investors. Parties should independently investigate any investment area or manager, and should consult with qualified investment, legal, and tax professionals before making any investment. Some information contained herein has been obtained from third party sources and has not been independently verified by CenterSquare Investment Management LLC (“CenterSquare”), formerly CenterSquare Investment Management, Inc. CenterSquare makes no representations as to the accuracy or the completeness of any of the information herein. Accordingly, this material is not to be reproduced in whole or in part or used for any other purpose.

Investment products (other than deposit products) referenced in this material are not insured by the FDIC (or any other state or federal agency), are not deposits of or guaranteed by CenterSquare, and are subject to investment risk, including the loss of principal amount invested.

General Real Estate Risks

Because the investment strategies concentrate their assets in the real estate industry, an investment is closely linked to the performance of the real estate markets. Real estate is a cyclical business, highly sensitive to general and local economic developments and characterized by intense competition and periodic overbuilding. Real estate income and values may also be greatly affected by demographic trends, such as population shifts or changing tastes and values. Companies in the real estate industry may be adversely affected by environmental conditions. Government actions, such as tax increases, zoning law changes or environmental regulations, may also have a major impact on real estate. Changing interest rates and credit quality requirements will also affect the cash flow of real estate companies and their ability to meet capital needs.

Material in this publication is for general information only and is not intended to provide specific investment advice or recommendations for any purchase or sale of any specific security. Certain information contained herein has been obtained from published and non-published sources prepared by other parties, which in certain cases have not been updated through the date hereof. While such information is believed to be reliable for the purposes of this presentation, we do not assume any responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of such information and such information has not been independently verified by us.

Disclosure to Canadian Investors

This document has been prepared solely for information purposes and is not an offering memorandum nor any other kind of an offer to buy or sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any security, instrument or investment product or to participate in any particular trading strategy. It is not intended and should not be taken as any form of advertising, recommendation, investment advice or invitation to trade. This information is confidential and for the use of the intended recipients only. The distribution of this document in Canada is restricted to recipients who are qualified "permitted clients" for purposes of NI 31-103 and "accredited investors" for purposes of NI 45-106. It may not be reproduced, redistributed or copied in whole or in part for any purpose without prior written consent. Securities legislation in certain provinces or territories of Canada may provide a purchaser with remedies for rescission or damages to the extent that this document could be viewed as an "offering memorandum" and this document (including any amendment thereto) contains a misrepresentation, provided that the remedies for rescission or damages are exercised by the purchaser within the time limit prescribed by the securities legislation of the purchaser’s province or territory. The recipient of this document should refer to any applicable provisions of the securities legislation of the recipient’s province or territory for particulars of these rights or consult with a legal advisor.

CenterSquare REIT Cap Rate Perspective Methodology

CenterSquare REIT Implied Cap Rates are based on a proprietary calculation that divides a company’s reporting net operating income (“NOI”) adjusted for non-recurring items by the value of its equity and debt less the value of non-income producing assets. The figures above are based on 3Q19 earnings reported in November 2019.

The universe of stocks used to aggregate the data presented is based on CenterSquare’s coverage universe of approximately 200 U.S. listed real estate companies. Sector cap rates are market cap weighted. Sectors and market classifications are defined by the following:

- Apartment: REITs that own and manage multifamily residential rental properties;
- Industrial: REITs that own and manage industrial facilities (i.e. warehouses, distribution centers);
- Office – REITs that own and manage commercial office properties;
- Retail – REITs that own and manage retail properties (i.e. malls, shopping centers);
- Hotel – REITs that own and manage lodging properties;
- Healthcare – REITs that own properties used by healthcare service tenants (i.e. hospitals, medical office buildings);
- Gateway – REITs with portfolios primarily in the Boston, Chicago, LA, NYC, SF, and DC markets; Non-Gateway – REITs without a presence in the gateway markets.

The REIT ODCE Proxy is a universe of REIT stocks built to resemble the NCREIF Fund Index – Open End Diversified Core Equity (ODCE). The ODCE, short for NCREIF Fund Index - Open End Diversified Core Equity, is the first of the NCREIF Fund Database products and is an index of investment returns reporting on both a historical and current basis the results of 36 open-end commingled funds pursuing a core investment strategy, some of which have performance histories dating back to the 1970s.

The REIT ODCE Proxy is proprietary to CenterSquare and uses gateway/infill names in apartments, retail, industrial and office, and then weights them according to the ODCE index to create a proxy.

Private Market Cap Rates represent the cap rate achievable in the private market for the property portfolio owned by each company, and are based on estimates produced by CenterSquare’s investment team informed by various market sources including broker estimates.

Definition of Indices

NFI ODCE: NCREIF Open End Diversified Core Equity (ODCE) Index

NCREIF Open End Diversified Core Equity (ODCE) Index

The ODCE, short for NCREIF Fund Index - Open End Diversified Core Equity, is the first of the NCREIF Fund Database products and is an index of investment returns reporting on both a historical and current basis the results of 36 open-end commingled funds pursuing a core investment strategy, some of which have performance histories dating back to the 1970s.

This benchmark is a broad-based index which is used for illustrative purposes only. The investment activities and performance of an actual portfolio may be considerably more volatile than these indices and may have material differences from the performance of any of this index.
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